REMARKS

The specification has been amended to add the specific reference to the prior applications to which the present application claims priority. Claim 1 has been amended to correct an obvious typographical error. No new matter has been added by the amendments.

Claims 1-4, 13-16 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,756,751 ("Schmalstieg, et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,068,304 ("Higuchi, et al.").

The Examiner indicates that <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. discloses a moisture-curable polyether urethane having at least two cyclic urea/reactive silane groups. The Examiner further notes that <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. is silent as to the degree of unsaturation of the polyether segments. However, the Examiner indicates <u>Higuchi</u>, et al. discloses the use of polyethers having a degree of unsaturation of not higher than 0.07 meq/g in a moisture-curable polyether urethane, and indicates that based on the disclosed advantages of a low degree of unsaturation, it would be obvious to use polyethers having such a low degree of unsaturation in the compositions of <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al.

It is an axiom of patent law that in order to make out a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the Examiner must consider every element of the pending claims. In this case, there has been no indication from the Examiner regarding the requirement in the present claims that the sum of the number average molecular weights of all of the polyether segments per molecule averages 6000 to 20,000. Applicants note that both <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. and <u>Higuchi</u>, et al. are silent as to such a requirement. <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. merely teaches that the molecular weight of the hydroxy-functional compounds used to formulate the NCO prepolymer may have molecular weights of 500 to 10,000.

PO-7631 -8-

Such a teaching does not disclose the requirement that the sum of the number average molecular weights of all of the polyether segments per molecule averages 6000 to 20,000. Further, the Examiner has provided no reason why such an element would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, given the teachings of Schmalstieg, et al. As a result, the Examiner has failed to make out a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 5-12 and 17-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. in view of <u>Higuchi</u>, et al., further in view of U.S. Patent Application Number 2003/0225237 ("<u>Roesler</u>, et al.").

Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the amendment to the specification, claiming priority of the present application to December 20, 2002. As a result, Roesler, et al. could only be considered prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(e). However, the Applicants were under an obligation to assign the invention to Bayer MaterialScience LLC, owner of Roesler, et al. Thus, under 35 U.S.C. §103(c)(1), Roesler, et al. cannot be considered as prior art for the present application.

Further, the Examiner acknowledges that <u>Schmalstieg</u>, et al. and <u>Higuchi</u>, et al. do not teach the polyether urethane b) required by the present claims. The Examiner has not indicated any motivation for including the polyether urethane. As such, the Examiner has failed to make out a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

PO-7631 -9-

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of Claims 1-25 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert S. Klernz Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 46,305

Bayer MaterialScience LLC 100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205-9741 (412) 777-3808 FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER: (412) 777-3902 s:\shared\kgb\7631amRSK

PO-7631 -10-